

PTO/SB/17 (10-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Complete if Known FEE TRANSMITTAL Application Number Filing Date for FY 2004 Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

10/017,410 12/14/2001 Peggy J. Farnham First Named Inventor Misook Yu **Examiner Name** 1642 Art Unit 960296.97401 Attorney Docket No.

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
Check Credit card Money Other None	3. Al	DDITI	TIONAL FEES			
Order Order	Large Entity Small Entity					
Denosit	Fee Code			Fee	Fee Description	F B ! !
Account 17-0055	1051	(\$) 130	2051	(\$) 65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	Fee Paid
Number Deposit Occasion & Deposit	1052	50	2052		Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or	
Account Name					cover sheet	
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)	1053	130	1053		Non-English specification	
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments	1812	•	1812		For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)	1804	920*	1804	920-	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.	1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
FEE CALCULATION	1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	l i
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	
Large Entity Small Entity	1253	950	2253	475	Extension for reply within third month	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Pald Code (\$) Code (\$)	1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1001 770 2001 385 Utility filling fee	1255	2,010	2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee	1401	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal	
1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee	1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee	1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing	
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee	1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0.00	1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
	1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - unintentional	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE	1501		2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
Total Claims Extra Claims below Fee Paid Total Claims -20**= X = 0.00	1502	480	2502		Design issue fee	
Independent	1503	640	2503		Plant issue fee	<u> </u>
Claims -3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1460	130	1460		Petitions to the Commissioner	
	1807	50	1807	7 50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	1806	180	1806		Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Code (\$) Code (\$)	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
1202	1809	770	2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
1203 290 2203 145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
1204 86 2204 43 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	1801	770	2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	1802	900	1802		Request for expedited examination of a design application	
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00	Other fee (specify)					
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above						
		===				

SUBMITTED BY Complete *(if app* Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 37,094 Name (Print/Type) Bennett J. Bersom Telephone 608/251-5000 September 1, 2004 Signature

> WARNUMG: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date set forth below as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Signature and Deposit: September 1, 2004

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pplicants: Peggy J. Farnham

Date: September 1, 2004

Carrie R. Graveel

Serial No.: 10/017,410 Group Art Unit: 1642

Filed: 12/14/2001 Examiner: Yu, Misook

Title: LIVER TUMOR MARKER SEQUENCES File No.: 960296.97401

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to an Office Action dated August 11, 2004 in the above-identified application, which imposed a requirement for restriction on the applicants, the applicants provisionally elect Group IV, claims 2-4 and 11-15 to the extent that they relate to a polynucleotide that encodes SEQ ID NO:4. This election is made with traverse for groups I-VIII.

Groups III and IV should be examined together

Although the Office Action does not specify why groups III and IV are distinct, the applicants assume that the Examiner intended to apply the same reason as was set forth in the Office Action for groups I and II. For groups I and II, the Office Action asserts that the inventions are unrelated under MPEP 806.04 and 808.01 (see last paragraph on page 3 of the Office Action). MPEP Section 806.04 requires that the claims be both (1) not disclosed as capable of use together and (2) having different modes of operation, different functions or difference effects.

Groups III and IV claims, however, are disclosed as related. Group III and IV claims relate to polynucleotides that encode SEQ ID NO:2 and SEQ ID NO:4, respectively. SEQ ID

NO:2 and SEQ ID NO:4 are the murine and human homologues of the same protein and they are 91% similar to each other (see paragraph [00040] of the application). This is in striking contrast to the exemplary independent inventions of MPEP Section 806.04, namely a process of painting a house and a process of boring a well. MPEP Section 808.01 further points out that the situation under MPEP Section 806.04 is <u>rarely</u> presented since an application <u>seldom</u> contains disclosure of independent things. Here, a clear relation exists between groups III and IV. Therefore, it is not the rare situation to which Section 806.04 should apply according to MPEP 808.01.

Moreover, the present invention belongs to the field of biotechnology and according to MPEP 803.04, the Commissioner has decided *sua sponte* to partially waive the requirements of 37 CFR 1.141 *et seq*. (restriction requirements) for biotechnology inventions and permit a reasonable number of nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. According to MPEP 803.04, <u>up to ten</u> independent and distinct nucleotide sequences will be examined in a single application without restriction.

For the above reasons, it is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement on groups III and IV be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Other groups that relate to SEQ ID NO:2 and SEQ ID NO:4, respectively, should also be examined together

For the same reasons provided above, groups I and II, groups V and VI, and groups VII and VIII should be examined together, respectively. It is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement on these groups be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Groups I-VIII should be examined together

لأند

2

Restriction requirements are optional in all cases. MPEP § 803. If the search and examination of a set of claims can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine them on the merits, even though they may be arguably directed at distinct or independent inventions. MPEP § 803. In the present application, it is respectfully submitted that claims in groups I-VIII can be examined together without serious burden on the Office.

Claims in groups I-VIII are closely linked. Groups I and II are directed at the murine and human homologues of the same protein that share a 91% similarity. The Examiner has asserted no basis for alleging distinct biological activities between the proteins of SEQ ID NOs: 2 and 4. Groups III and IV are directed to polynucleotides, genetic constructs, cells, and kits that relate to nucleotide sequences encoding the murine or human protein. Groups V and VI are directed at antibodies specific for the murine or human protein. Groups VII and OBMAD\383136.1

VIII are directed at a method that involves measuring the expression of the murine or human protein. A proper search for one group of claims would inevitably overlap with that for the others and the search results for one is relevant to the others. For example, a proper search for all these groups would involve searching for the highly homologous murine or human protein and if groups I and II or groups III and IV are found patentable, all other groups would also be considered patentable. In this regard, the applicants further note that all the groups are classified under the same class and many of them under the same subclass as well. Under this circumstance, it is not burdensome on the Office to examine these claims together. On the contrary, it will be unnecessarily burdensome on both the applicants and the Office to consider the highly related subject matter in separate patent applications. It is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement on groups I-VIII be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Groups IX-XII are not appropriate restriction groups

Independent claim 7 is directed at a method for diagnosing hepatocellular cancer by analyzing the expression level of a polypeptide or a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide that is differentially expressed in cancerous and regenerating liver cells. The claim is not limited to the use of SEQ ID NOs:1-4. The Office Action divides claims 7-10 into four groups that cover the use of SEQ ID NO:1, SEQ ID NO:3, antibodies to SEQ ID NO:2, and antibodies to SEQ ID NO:4, respectively. This leaves certain subject matter in Claims 7, 8 and 9 not covered by any claim group. Clarification on groups IX-XII is respectfully requested.

No extension of time is believed to be necessary and no fee is believed to be due in connection with this response. However, if any extension of time is required in this or any subsequent response, please consider this to be a petition for the appropriate extension and a request to charge the petition fee to the Deposit Account No. 17-0055. No other fee is believed to be due in connection with this response. However, if any fee is due in this or any subsequent response, please charge the fee to the same Deposit Account No. 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,

Bennett J. Berson

Reg. No. 37,094

Afterney for Applicants
QUARLES & BRADY LLP

P.O. Box 2113

Madison, WI 53701-2113

TEL (608) 251-5000 FAX (608) 251-9166